

ASYMMETRY AND PROJECTION CONSTANTS OF BANACH SPACES

BY
Y. GORDON †

ABSTRACT

We discuss various asymmetry constants of finite-dimensional Banach spaces in a more generalized frame than that of [2], and solve a problem raised in [7] by finding an increasing sequence of Banach spaces whose diagonal asymmetry constants tend to infinity. We investigate the question of whether the projection constant of every n -dimensional Banach space is strictly less than \sqrt{n} , and show that this is so when $n = 2$.

1. Introduction

We shall use the concept of normed linear ideals of operators to generalize the results of [2] on asymmetry constants. For a detailed discussion on normed linear ideals of operators we refer the reader to the works of Grothendieck, Pietsch [13] and Schatten.

Let $L(E, F)$ denote the Banach space of linear bounded operators from a Banach space E to a Banach space F . For every pair of Banach spaces E and F , let there be given a norm $\alpha_{E, F}$ defined on a given linear subspace $A(E, F)$ of $L(E, F)$, such that

- a) If $u \in A(E, F)$, $v \in L(X, E)$, and $w \in L(F, Y)$, then $wuv \in A(X, Y)$ and $\alpha_{X, Y}(wuv) \leq \|w\| \|v\| \alpha_{E, F}(u)$.
- b) If $u \in A(E, F)$, then $\alpha(u) \geq \|u\|$.
- c) If $u \in L(E, F)$ is of rank one, then $\alpha_{E, F}(u) = \|u\|$.

The pair $\langle A, \alpha \rangle$ is called a normed linear ideal of operators (N.L.I.O.) c.f. [13]. Given a N.L.I.O. $\langle A, \alpha \rangle$, the conjugate ideal $\langle A^\Delta, \alpha^\Delta \rangle$ is defined as in [4]: $T \in A^\Delta(E, F)$ if and only if there is an $\mathcal{S} > 0$ such that for every finite rank $L \in L(F, E)$ the inequality

† The research for this paper was partially supported by NSF-GP-34193.

Received March 27, 1972 and in revised form June 19, 1972

$$|\text{trace } (LT)| \leq \mathcal{S}\alpha(L),$$

holds, and $\alpha^\Delta(T)$ is defined as $\inf \mathcal{S}$, taken over all possible \mathcal{S} . We shall use the following result:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\langle A, \alpha \rangle$ be a N.L.I.O. and E and F be finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Then $(L^\Delta(E, F), \alpha^\Delta)$ is a Banach space which may be identified with the conjugate space $(L(F, E), \alpha)^*$ where the correspondence is given by*

$$\langle S, T \rangle = \text{trace } (ST)$$

for every $S \in (L(F, E), \alpha)$, $T \in (L(E, F), \alpha^\Delta)$.

Some well-known examples of N.L.I.O.'s which we use here are:

1) (Π_p, π_p) ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the ideal of p -absolutely summing operators [11, 12, 16].

2) (N_p, ν_p) ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the ideal of p -nuclear operators [11, 16].

3) (I_p, i_p) ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the ideal of p -integral operators [11, 16], that is, operators $u \in L(E, F)$ for which there is a probability measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and operators $v \in L(E, L_\infty(\Omega, \mu))$ and $w \in L(L_p(\Omega, \mu), F'')$ such that $wjv = iu$, where $j: L_\infty(\Omega, \mu) \rightarrow L_v(\Omega, \mu)$ and $i: F \rightarrow F''$ are the canonical injections, and where $i_p(u)$ is defined as $\inf \|w\| \|v\|$ taken over all such factorizations. Observe that if F is reflexive, $i_\infty = c$ which is the extension norm defined for each $u \in L(E, F)$ as the infimum of all λ such that whenever E_0 is a Banach space containing E as a closed linear subspace, u has an extension $\tilde{u} \in L(E_0, F)$ with norm $\leq \lambda$.

When either E or F is finite-dimensional, $\Pi_p^\Delta(E, F) = N_p(E, F) = I_p(E, F)$ ($1/p + 1/p' = 1$) with equality of norms, in particular $\pi_1^\Delta = \nu_\infty = i_\infty = c$ in this case [11, 16].

We recall now some definitions of asymmetry [2]. Let E be a Banach space and G a (multiplicative) group of operators in $L(E, E)$. G' denotes the set of all $t \in L(E, E)$ which commute with every $g \in G$. G_E denotes the set of all isometries of E . We say E has enough symmetries if G'_E consists only of the scalar multiples of the identity operator i_E on E .

The asymmetry constant $s(E)$ is defined as the infimum of all $\lambda > 0$ for which there is a group $G \subseteq L(E, E)$ of invertible "onto" operators such that $\sup \{\|g\|; g \in G\} \leq \lambda$, and $G' = \{\alpha i_E\}$.

If E is a Banach space with basis $B = \{e_i\}$, and σ is a finite permutation of the integers, then the operator $g_\sigma \in L(E, E)$ is defined by $g_\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$, and the diagonal asymmetry constant $\delta(B)$ is defined as $\sup_\sigma \|g_\sigma\|$. If $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i)$ is a sequence

of ± 1 , where $\varepsilon_i = 1$ except for a finite number of i for which $\varepsilon_i = -1$, then $g_\varepsilon \in L(E, E)$ is defined by $g_\varepsilon(e_i) = \varepsilon_i e_i$, and the coordinate asymmetry constant $x(B)$ is defined as $\sup_\varepsilon \|g_\varepsilon\|$. Note that $s(E) \leq \delta(B)x(B)$ for every basis B [2].

The diagonal asymmetry constant of E , $\delta(E)$, is defined as $\inf\{\delta(B); B \text{ is a basis for } E\}$, and the coordinate (or unconditional) asymmetry constant $x(E)$ as $\inf\{x(B); B \text{ is a basis for } E\}$.

If $\langle A, \alpha \rangle$ is a N.L.I.O. and i_E the identity operator on E , then $\alpha(E)$ will denote $\alpha(i_E)$, $\lambda(E) = c(i_E)$ will denote the projection constant of E . The distance coefficient between isomorphic Banach spaces E and F is defined by $d(E, F) = \inf\|t\| \|t^{-1}\|$, where t is any isomorphism of E onto F .

We consider two problems raised in [7]: Is there a sequence E_n $n = 1, 2, \dots$ of finite-dimensional real Banach spaces such that

- 1) $\delta(E_n) \rightarrow \infty$?
- 2) $x(E_n) \rightarrow \infty$?

It was shown in [2], that there is a sequence E_n for which $s(E_n) \rightarrow \infty$. We prove here, Theorem 4, that for this sequence also $\delta(E_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Our results differ from those of [2] mainly because there is no known relationship between the asymmetry constants $\delta(E)$, $x(E)$ and $s(E)$. It is therefore theoretically possible for any one of these constants to be arbitrarily large for suitable E 's, while the other two constants remain bounded or small in comparison. However, we do not know of finite-dimensional examples which demonstrate these phenomena.

Theorems 2, 3 and 5 relate $\alpha(E)$, $\alpha^\Delta(E)$ and $\dim(E)$ to each one of the three asymmetry constants. Their applications are useful and we illustrate some of them in Corollaries 3 and 4 and Theorems 4 and 7. In section 3 we discuss the constant $c_n = \max\{\lambda(E); \dim(E) = n\}$. It is an open and apparently difficult question whether c_n is strictly less than \sqrt{n} for each $n = 2, 3, \dots$. We prove in Theorem 8 that $c_2 < \sqrt{2}$; in fact, $c_2 < 1.414211$.

2. Asymmetry constants

THEOREM 1 [2]. $s(E) = \inf\{d(E, F); F \text{ is a Banach space with enough symmetries}\}$.

The following is a generalization of [2, Th. 6].

THEOREM 2. *If E is an n -dimensional Banach space, and $\langle L(E, E), \alpha \rangle$ is a N.L.I.O., then*

$$n \leq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq n(s(E))^2.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 1,

$$\alpha(i_E)\alpha^\Delta(i_E) \geq \text{trace}(i_E \cdot i_E) = \text{trace}(i_E) = n.$$

Let F be any n -dimensional Banach space with enough symmetries. Since G_F is a compact group, there is a unique normalized positive Haar measure dg on G_F . By Proposition 1, there is an operator $u \in L(F, F)$ with $\alpha^\Delta(u) = 1$ and $\alpha(F) = \text{trace}(u)$.

Let $v = \int_{G_F} g^{-1}ug dg$. Since $v \in G'_F$, therefore $v = \lambda i_F$ for some scalar λ , where λ is given by:

$$\alpha(F) = \text{trace}(u) = \text{trace}(v) = \lambda \cdot n.$$

It then follows that

$$n^{-1}\alpha(F)\alpha^\Delta(F) = \alpha^\Delta(v) \leq \int_{G_F} \alpha^\Delta(g^{-1}ug)dg \leq \int_{G_F} \|g^{-1}\| \alpha^\Delta(u) \|g\| dg = 1.$$

But $\alpha(E) \leq d(E, F)\alpha(F)$, and similarly for α^Δ ; therefore,

$$\alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq (d(E, F))^2\alpha(F)\alpha^\Delta(F) = n(d(E, F))^2,$$

and the result follows by Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1. *If E has enough symmetries, $\alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) = n$.*

THEOREM 3. *If E is as in Theorem 2, then*

$$n \leq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq 3n(\delta(E))^3.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 1, there is an operator $u \in L(E, E)$, $\alpha^\Delta(u) = 1$ such that $\alpha(E) = \text{trace}(u)$. Let $B = \{e_i\}_1^n$ be any basis for E , and put $\delta = \delta(B)$. Let

$$v = (n!)^{-1} \sum g_\sigma^{-1}ug_\sigma,$$

where σ ranges over all the permutations of $1, 2, \dots, n$. Clearly there exist scalars a, b such that $v = ai_E + bw$, where w is the rank one projection of E onto the space spanned by the vector $e = n^{-1} \sum_1^n e_i$, given by $we_i = e$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Now $\alpha(E) = \text{trace}(u) = \text{trace}(v) = an + b$, and therefore $v = ai_E + (\alpha(E) - an)w$. Also

$$\alpha^\Delta(v) \leq (n!)^{-1} \sum \alpha^\Delta(g_\sigma^{-1}ug_\sigma) \leq (n!)^{-1} \sum \|g_\sigma^{-1}\| \|g_\sigma\| \alpha^\Delta(u) \leq \delta^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|w\| \alpha^\Delta(v) \geq \alpha^\Delta(wv) = |a + \alpha(E) - an| \alpha^\Delta(w) = |a + \alpha(E) - an| \|w\|,$$

so that $\alpha^\Delta(v) \geq |a + \alpha(E) - an|$. In addition

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^\Delta(v) &\geq |a| \alpha^\Delta(i_E) - |\alpha(E) - an| \alpha^\Delta(w) = |a| \alpha^\Delta(E) - |\alpha(E) - an| \|w\|, \\ \|w(\sum x_i e_i)\| &= n^{-1} |\sum x_i| \|e_i\| = (n!)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\sigma} \sum_i x_i e_{\sigma(i)} \right\| \\ &\leq (n!)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma} \left\| \sum_i x_i e_{\sigma(i)} \right\| \leq \delta \left\| \sum x_i e_i \right\| \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|w\| \leq \delta$, and this implies that $\alpha^\Delta(v) \geq |a| \alpha^\Delta(E) - |\alpha(E) - an| \delta$.

Combining the inequalities we obtain

$$\delta^2 \geq \max \{ |a(n-1) - \alpha(E)|, |a| \alpha^\Delta(E) - |an - \alpha(E)| \delta \}.$$

If we assume $\alpha^\Delta(E) > \delta$, otherwise the proof is complete, then a simple calculation shows that the minimum of the function

$$f(x) = \max \{ |x(n-1) - \alpha(E)|, |x| \alpha^\Delta(E) - |xn - \alpha(E)| \delta \}$$

in the interval $-\infty < x < \infty$ is the value

$$A = \min_{\varepsilon = \pm 1} \frac{\alpha(E)(\alpha^\Delta(E) + \varepsilon\delta)}{n\delta + n - 1 + \varepsilon\alpha^\Delta(E)},$$

so it follows that $\delta^2 \geq A$.

If the minimum for A is attained when $\varepsilon = 1$, then

$$(n\delta + n - 1 + \alpha^\Delta(E))\delta^2 \geq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) + \alpha(E)\delta,$$

and since v_1 is the greatest cross norm [5], therefore $\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq v_1(E) = n$ [2], hence $\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq n$, from which it follows that

$$3n\delta^3 \geq (n\delta + n - 1 + \alpha^\Delta(E))\delta^2 \geq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E).$$

If the minimum for A is attained when $\varepsilon = -1$, then

$$2n\delta^3 \geq (n\delta + n - 1 - \alpha^\Delta(E))\delta^2 \geq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) - \alpha(E)\delta \geq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) - n\delta^3,$$

and this concludes the proof. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2. *If $\delta(E) = 1$, then $n \leq \alpha(E)\alpha^\Delta(E) \leq 3n$.*

Denote by l_p^n ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the n -dimensional l_p space and given two Banach spaces E and F , $E \oplus F$ will denote their direct sum normed by $\|(x, y)\| = \max \{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. Theorem 4 solves problem (1) mentioned earlier.

THEOREM 4. *If $1 \leq p \neq q \leq \infty$, then there exists a constant $c_{p,q} > 0$ such that for every n*

$$\delta(l_p^n \oplus l_q^n) \geq c_{p,q} \begin{cases} n^{1/3p-1/3q}; & \text{if } (p-2)(q-2) \geq 0 \\ \max \{n^{1/3p-1/6}, n^{1/6-1/3q}\}; & \text{if } q \geq 2 \geq p. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We shall apply Theorem 3 with $\alpha = \pi_1$, the 1-absolutely summing norm, for which we noted above that $\pi_1^\Delta = i_\infty = c$, so that $\pi_1^\Delta(E) = \lambda(E)$.

Let $E_n = l_p^n \oplus l_q^n$. It was shown in [2] that if $(p-2)(q-2) \geq 0$ then

$$\lambda(E_n)\pi_1(E_n) \sim n^{1+|1/q-1/p|}$$

(\sim means that the ratio of both sides is bounded from 0 and ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$), and that if $q \geq 2 \geq p$ then

$$\lambda(E_n)\pi_1(E_n) \sim n^{3/2-1/q}$$

so the result follows by Theorem 3. Q.E.D.

Concerning the asymmetry x we have

THEOREM 5. Let E be an n -dimensional Banach space with basis $B = \{e_i\}_1^n$ and $\langle L(E, E), \alpha \rangle$ be an N.L.I.O. For any subset $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let $E_J = [e_i; i \in J]$ and

$$a_j = \min\{\max\{\alpha^\Delta(E_J); I \subseteq J; J \text{ contains } j \text{ elements}\} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$(x(B))^3 \sum_1^n a_j^{-1} \geq \alpha(E).$$

PROOF. By Proposition 1 there exists $u \in L(E, E)$ with $\alpha^\Delta(u) = 1$ and $\alpha(E) = \text{trace}(u)$. Let $v = 2^{-n} \sum_\epsilon g_\epsilon^{-1} u g_\epsilon$, where ϵ ranges over all vectors $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$, and let $\{e'_i\}$ be the associated sequence of coefficient functionals to $\{e_i\}$. For v thus defined there exist scalars λ_i^0 such that $ve_i = \lambda_i^0 e_i$ for every i . Let $I \subseteq J$ be any subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $w_I: E \rightarrow E_I$ and $v_I: E_I \rightarrow E$ be the natural projection and embedding operators respectively.

Let $z: E_I \rightarrow E_I$ be an arbitrary operator. Since $\|w_I\| \leq x$ (where $x = x(B)$), we get $\alpha(v_I z w_I) \leq x \|v_I\| \alpha(z) = x \alpha(z)$. In addition, for any g_ϵ :

$$\alpha^\Delta(v v_J w_J g_\epsilon) \leq 2^{-n} \sum_\epsilon \|g_\epsilon^{-1}\| \|g_\epsilon v_J w_J g_\epsilon\| \alpha^\Delta(u) \leq x^2,$$

since $\|g_\epsilon v_J w_J g_\epsilon\| \leq x$. So combining the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 \alpha(z) &\geq \sup_{z'} \text{trace}(v v_J w_J g_\epsilon v_I z w_I) \\ &= \sup_{z'} \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^0 \epsilon_i \langle z e_i, e'_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} |\lambda_i^0| |\langle z e_i, e'_i \rangle| \\ &\geq (\min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j^0|) \text{trace}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $I \subseteq J$ are arbitrary. This implies that $x^3 \geq (\min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j^0|) \alpha^\Delta(E_I)$, and maximizing over $I \subseteq J$

$$x^3 \geq (\min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j^0|) \max_{I \subseteq J} \alpha^A(E_I) \geq (\min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j^0|) a_{|J|}$$

where $|J|$ denotes the number of elements in J , and since also J is arbitrary and

$$\sum_1^n \lambda_i^0 = \text{trace}(v) = \text{trace}(u) = \alpha(E)$$

we finally get

$$x^3 \geq \max_J \{(\min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j^0|) a_{|J|}\} \geq \min \left\{ \max_J (a_{|J|} \min_{j \in J} |\lambda_j|); \sum_1^n \lambda_i = \alpha(E) \right\}.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that the minimum on the λ_i is attained for $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, and then

$$x^3 \geq \min \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \lambda_i; \sum_1^n \lambda_i = \alpha(E), \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0 \right\}$$

and by virtue of $a_{i+1} \geq a_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$), the expression is minimized when $a_i \lambda_i = a_1 \lambda_1$ for each i , that is

$$a_i \lambda_i = \alpha(E) \left(\sum_1^n a_j^{-1} \right)^{-1},$$

and the theorem is established. Q.E.D.

It was shown in [2] that for any n -dimensional subspace $E \in l_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq 2$), $\lambda(E) \geq K_G^{-1} \sqrt{n}$, where K_G is the Grothendieck constant. Thus applying Theorems 2, 3, and 5 we obtain

COROLLARY 3. *Let E be any n -dimensional subspace of l_p ($1 \leq p \leq 2$), and let $\mu(E) = \min\{(s(E))^2, 3(\delta(E))^3, 2(x(E))^3\}$. Then*

$$K_G \mu(E) \sqrt{n} \geq \pi_1(E) \geq \sqrt{n}.$$

PROOF. $\pi_1(E) \geq \sqrt{n}$ by [2], and Theorems 2 and 3 yield that

$$n \min \{(s(E))^2, 3(\delta(E))^3\} \geq \pi_1(E) \lambda(E) \geq \pi_1(E) K_G^{-1} \sqrt{n}.$$

Applying Theorem 5 with $\alpha = \pi_1$, we have $\alpha^\Delta = c$, hence $a_j \geq K_G^{-1} \sqrt{j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, therefore

$$\pi_1(E) (x(B))^{-3} \leq \sum_1^n a_j^{-1} \leq K_G \sum j^{-1/2} \leq 2K_G \sqrt{n}$$

from which it follows that $\pi_1(E) \leq 2K_G (x(E))^3 \sqrt{n}$. Q.E.D.

REMARKS. We do not know whether $\pi_1(E) n^{-1/2}$ is uniformly bounded for every n -dimensional $E \in l_p$. However, if l_p contains a sequence E_n of n -dimensional subspaces such that $\pi_1(E_n) n^{-1/2} \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mu(E_n)$, and in particular, $x(E_n)$, tend to infinity. Corollary 3 tells us also that $\pi_1(E_n) \sim \sqrt{n}$ whenever $\{\mu(E_n)\}$ is

bounded. It is in fact easy to construct $E_n \subset l_p$ such that $s(E_n), \delta(E_n)$ are unknown, or at least difficult to evaluate, but $x(E_n) = 1$ trivially.

It was proved by Kwapien [10], that every map from every $C(S)$ space into L_q is s -absolutely summing up for all $\infty > s > q > 2$. Rosenthal [14] has recently shown that $\pi_s(T) \leq c_{q,s} \|T\|$ for all $T \in L(C(S), L_q)$, where

$$c_{q,s} = c_q((q-1)(s-1)/(s-q))^{1-1/s}$$

and c_q depends only on q . Applying this we get

THEOREM 6. *Let E be any n -dimensional subspace of l_q ($2 < q < \infty$). Then $\lambda(E) \geq c_{q,s}^{-1} n^{1/s}$ for every $s, q < s < \infty$.*

PROOF. Let $j: E \rightarrow C(S)$ be any isometric embedding in a $C(S)$ space, and P be any bounded linear projection of $C(S)$ onto $j(E)$. $j^{-1}P$ maps $C(S)$ into l_q , hence $\pi_s(P) \leq \pi_s(j^{-1}P) \leq \|j^{-1}P\|_{c_{q,s}}$. But clearly $\pi_s(P) \geq \pi_s(E)$, and by [2] $\pi_s(E) \geq n^{1/s}$, so that $n^{1/s} \leq \|P\|_{c_{q,s}}$. Q.E.D.

That $\lambda(l_q^n) \sim n^{1/q}$ was proved by Rutovitz [15] (cf. also [2]). Defining $\mu(E)$ as in Corollary 3 we obtain

COROLLARY 4. *Let $2 < q < s < \infty$ and E be any n -dimensional subspace of l_q . Then*

$$\sqrt{n} \leq \pi_1(E) \leq c_{q,s} \mu(E) n^{1-1/s}.$$

PROOF. $\pi_1(E) \geq \sqrt{n}$ by [2], and Theorems 2 and 3 yield that

$$n \min \{ (s(E))^2, 3(\delta(E))^3 \} \geq \pi_1(E) \lambda(E) \geq c_{q,s}^{-1} n^{1/s} \pi_1(E).$$

Again applying Theorem 5 with $\alpha = \pi_1$, we have by Theorem 6 $a_j \geq c_{q,s}^{-1} j^{1/s}$ $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, hence

$$\pi_1(E) (x(B))^{-3} \leq \sum_1^n a_j^{-1} \leq c_{q,s} \Sigma j^{-1/s} \leq 2c_{q,s} n^{1-1/s}$$

which implies $\pi_1(E) \leq 2c_{q,s} (x(E))^3 n^{1-1/s}$. Q.E.D.

REMARKS. $\pi_1(l_q^n) \sim n^{1-1/q}$ and $\pi_1(l_2^n) \sim n^{1/2}$ [3], and both spaces are isometric to subspaces of $L_q[0, 1]$. However, also in this case we do not know whether

$$\sup \{ \pi_1(E); E \subset l_q, \dim(E) = n \} \sim n^{1-1/q}.$$

If l_q contains a sequence E_n of n -dimensional subspaces such that $\pi_1(E_n) n^{1/q-1} \rightarrow \infty$ then by Corollary 4, $\mu(E_n)$, and therefore also $x(E_n)$, tend to infinity; for it is clear from the definition of $c_{q,s}$ that there is a sequence $s_n \downarrow q$ such that $\pi_1(E_n) n^{1/s_n-1} c_{q,s}^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$.[†]

[†] *Added in proof:* It is known that the unconditional basis constant of $L(p, l_q^n)$ ($1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$) tends to ∞ with n [18].

3. Projection constants

Let $c_n = \max \{ \lambda(E); E \text{ is a real } n\text{-dimensional Banach space} \}$. For $n \geq 2$, it is known that $c_n \leq \sqrt{n}$ [2, 9], yet it is unknown whether $c_n = \sqrt{n}$ for some n . We shall show in Theorem 8 that $c_2 < \sqrt{2}$. The proof utilizes John's Theorem [8]. Let us first construct an n -dimensional Banach space which has the largest known projection constant: Let $E(\alpha)$, $1 < \alpha < n$, be the space whose points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are normed by

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|, \alpha^{-1} \sum_1^n |x_i| \right\}.$$

THEOREM 7. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (n^{-1}v_p(E(\alpha)))^p &= (\pi_p(E(\alpha)))^{-p} \\ &= \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} \min \{ \mu \alpha^{-p} + (1-\mu)n^{-1}, \mu(\pi_p(l_1^n))^{-p} + (1-\mu)\alpha^p n^{-p} \}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let S be the unit ball of $E(\alpha)$. The set of the extremal points of S^* , K^* , consists of all points derived from the two points $R = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $Q = \alpha^{-1}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ by all the possible permutations and changes of signs on their coordinates. We assign to Q , and to each point thus derived from Q , the same positive point mass λ , and we assign to R , and to each point derived from R , the same positive point mass w . The total measure assigned to K^* is then $m(K^*) = 2nw + 2^n\lambda$. By [3]

$$(\pi_p(E(\alpha)))^{-p} = \sup_m \inf_{\|x\|=1} \int_{K^*} |\langle x, a \rangle|^p dm(a)$$

where m ranges on all probability measures on K^* which are invariant to isometries; that is, on all measures m as defined above for Q , R , and their derived points, where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2^{-n}$ and $m(K^*) = 1$. It then follows that

$$(\pi_p(E(\alpha)))^{-p} = \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 2^{-n}} \min_{\|x\|=1} \left[\lambda \sum_{|\varepsilon_i|=1} \alpha^{-p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right|^p + 2w \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right].$$

Knowing K^* , we have that the equations of the supporting planes to S are $x = \pm 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i = \alpha$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$.

Since the function

$$f(x) = \left(\int_{K^*} |\langle x, a \rangle|^p dm(a) \right)^{1/p}$$

is convex, therefore its minimum on $\partial S = \{x; \|x\| = 1\}$ is attained in our case

at the center of gravity of one of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of S which, by applying a suitable isometry, we take to be either on the plane $x_1 = 1$ or on the plane $\sum_1^n x_i = \alpha$.

The center of gravity of the extremal points of S on $x_1 = 1$ is the point $A = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and denoting $\mu = 2^n \lambda$, we have $f(A) = (\mu \alpha^{-p} + (1-\mu)n^{-1})^{1/p}$.

The point B , the center of gravity of the extremal points of S on $\sum_1^n x_i = \alpha$, is found as follows: If α is an integer, that is $\alpha = [\alpha]$, then the extremal points of S on $\sum_1^n x_i = \alpha$ are all those of the form (x_1, \dots, x_n) where $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\sum_1^n x_i = \alpha$. There are $\binom{n}{\alpha}$ such points and easy calculation shows that $B = \alpha n^{-1}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

If $\alpha \neq [\alpha]$, then the extremal points are those derived from

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1, \alpha - [\alpha], 0, \dots, 0)}_{[\alpha]}$$

by all the permutations on the coordinates. There are $n \binom{n-1}{[\alpha]}$ such points, and again one has that $B = \alpha n^{-1}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. We then get

$$\begin{aligned} (f(B))^p &= \lambda \sum_{\varepsilon_i} \alpha^{-p} (\alpha/n)^p \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \right|^p + 2w(\alpha/n)^p n \\ &= \mu 2^{-n} n^{-p} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} |n-2i|^p + (1-\mu)(\alpha/n)^p \\ &= \mu (\pi_p(l_1^n))^{-p} + (1-\mu)\alpha^p n^{-p} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of [3]. Using the fact that $\pi_p^\Delta = v_p$, and Corollary 1, the assertion of the theorem follows from

$$\pi_p(E(\alpha))^{-p} = \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} \min \{f(A)^p, (f(B))^p\}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

COROLLARY 5. $\lambda(E(\sqrt{n})) = (n - \lambda(l_1^n))/(2\sqrt{n} - \lambda(l_1^n) - 1)$.

PROOF. Take $p = 1$ in Theorem 7, and use the facts that $\lambda(E(\alpha)) = v_\infty(E(\alpha))$, and $\pi_1(l_1^n)\lambda(l_1^n) = n$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 6. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(E(\sqrt{n}))/\sqrt{n} = (2 - \sqrt{2/\pi})^{-1} \approx 0.832$.

PROOF. Use Corollary 5 and the result $\lambda(l_1)n^{-1/2} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2/\pi}$ [6]. Q.E.D. Note that $(2 - \sqrt{2/\pi})^{-1}$ is larger than $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ which is attained for the space l_1^n (and l_2^n). Our main result in this section is

THEOREM 8. $4/3 \leq c_2 < \sqrt{2}$.

That $c_2 \geq 4/3$ is due to the fact that the projection constant of the space whose unit ball is the regular hexagon in the plane is $4/3$ [2, 3]. To prove the other inequality we need two lemmas. Lemma 1 is due to John [8], and was written in this form in [2].

LEMMA 1. *Let F be a real n -dimensional Banach space with unit ball S . Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be the Hilbert norm on F with the property that the unit ball B_2 in $(F, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is the ellipsoid of least volume containing S . Then there exist $s \leq n(n+1)/2$ distinct points x^1, x^2, \dots, x^s in F , and positive scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$ such that*

- 1) $\|x^r\|_F = \|x^r\|_{F'} = \|x^r\|_2 = 1$ for each $r = 1, \dots, s$.
- 2) $x = \sum_1^s \lambda_r \langle x, x^r \rangle x^r$ for each $x \in F$ ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product defined by $\|\cdot\|_2$).
- 3) $\sum_1^s \lambda_r = n$.
- 4) $x^i \neq -x^j$ if $i \neq j$.

LEMMA 2. *Let E be a real 2-dimensional Banach space with $\lambda(E) = \sqrt{2}$ and let $F = E'$. Then under the conditions of Lemma 1, $s = 2$ and there exists $y_0 \in \partial B^* \cap \partial B_2$ (∂B^* is the boundary of the unit ball of $E' = F$) such that $|\langle y_0, x^1 \rangle| = |\langle y_0, x^2 \rangle| = 1/\sqrt{2}$.*

PROOF. Embed E isometrically in l_∞ . Then, for every projection $P: l_\infty \rightarrow E$, $\|P\| \geq \lambda(E) = \sqrt{2}$. Since $\|x^i\|_{E'} = 1$ there exist Hahn-Banach extensions $\tilde{x}^i \in (l_\infty)'$ of x^i having norms $= 1$. Define the projection $P: l_\infty \rightarrow E$ by

$$Px = \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_r \langle x, \tilde{x}^r \rangle x^r, \quad x \in l_\infty$$

where x^r are viewed as points in E . Let $y_0 \in \partial B^*$ be such that

$$\sum \lambda_r |\langle x^r, y_0 \rangle| = \max \{ \sum \lambda_r |\langle x^r, y \rangle|; y \in \partial B^* \}.$$

By Lemma 1, Hölder's inequality and the fact that $B_2 \supset B^*$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} &= \lambda(E) \leq \sup \{ \langle Px, y \rangle; \|x\|_\infty = 1, y \in \partial B^* \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum \lambda_i \langle x, \tilde{x}^i \rangle \langle x^i, y \rangle; \|x\|_\infty = 1, y \in \partial B^* \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum \lambda_i |\langle x^i, y \rangle|; y \in \partial B^* \} = \sum \lambda_i |\langle x^i, y_0 \rangle| \\ &\leq (\sum \lambda_i)^{1/2} (\sum \lambda_i \langle x^i, y_0 \rangle^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2} \|y_0\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2} \|y_0\|_{E'} = \sqrt{2}.$$

The equality in Hölder's inequality implies that $|\langle x^i, y_0 \rangle| = c$ for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, and that $\|y_0\|_2 = 1$. Hence

$$1 = \langle y_0, y_0 \rangle = \sum \lambda_i \langle x^i, y_0 \rangle^2 = (\sum \lambda_i) c^2 = 2c^2;$$

therefore, $c = 2^{-1/2}$. Since $|\langle x^i, y_0 \rangle| = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and the x^i do not all lie on a straight line through the origin, we obtain from (4) that $s = 2$. Q.E.D.

By a suitable rotation of the x, y axes and replacing x^i by $-x^i$ if necessary, we may and shall assume henceforth that $y_0 = (1, 0)$ and $x^1 = (1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2})$ and $x^2 = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2})$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. Assume to the contrary that $\lambda(E) = \sqrt{2}$ for some space E . Let y_0, x^i ($i = 1, 2$) be as in Lemma 2, and let the boundary ∂B of the unit ball of E intersect the positive y -axis at $T = (0, t)$, where $t \geq 1$ (since $B \supseteq B_2$). Since $\|x^i\|_2 = \|x^i\|_E = 1$ and $B \supseteq B_2$, therefore the tangent line l_i to the circle B_2 drawn through the point x^i ($i = 1, 2$) supports ∂B . Since $y_0 \in \partial B^* \cap \partial B_2$, therefore $y_0 \in \partial B$. Let h be the tangent line to B_2 through y_0 . Let $P_i = (1, (-1)^i \text{tg}(\pi/8))$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the point of intersection of l_i and h , and g_1 (resp., g_2) be the tangent line to B_2 drawn through $-T = (0, -t)$ (resp., T) which meets B_2 on the left side of the y -axis, and finally, let $Q_i = l_i \cap g_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Since $B \supseteq B_2$ it follows that l_1, l_2 , and h all support B , and therefore B is contained in the convex hull of the set $A = \{\pm T, \pm P_1, \pm P_2, \pm Q_1, \pm Q_2\}$. Let Γ be the parallelogram whose vertices are $\pm y_0$ and $\pm T$, and α be the angle $\sphericalangle O y_0 T$. Clearly $\Gamma \subseteq B$. We intend to find a number $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta \Gamma \supseteq B$. Convex $(A) \supseteq B$; therefore, if $\beta > 0$ is the least positive number such that $\beta \Gamma \supseteq \text{convex}(A)$, then at least one point of the set $\{\pm Q_1, \pm Q_2, \pm P_1, \pm P_2\}$ belongs to the boundary $\partial(\beta \Gamma)$ of $\beta \Gamma$. Since $\alpha \geq \pi/4$, therefore $P_2 \in \partial(\beta \Gamma)$ from which it follows immediately that $\partial(\beta \Gamma)$ intersects the positive x -axis at the point $\beta = 1 + \text{tg}(\pi/8) \text{ctg} \alpha$.

$\beta \Gamma \supseteq B \supseteq \Gamma$ implies that $\beta \geq d(E, l_\infty^2) \geq \lambda(E) = \sqrt{2}$, that is $\text{tg}(\pi/8) \text{ctg} \alpha \geq \sqrt{2} - 1 = \text{tg}(\pi/8)$; therefore, $\alpha \leq \pi/4$, which implies that $\alpha = \pi/4$ and $T = (0, 1)$, and so $Q_i = (\text{tg}(\pi/8), (-1)^i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Therefore $A \subseteq (\sec(\pi/8))B_2$, and so $(\sec(\pi/8))B_2 \supseteq B \supseteq B_2$, hence $\sec(\pi/8) \geq d(E, l_2^2) \geq \sqrt{2}/\lambda(l_2^2)$, however $\lambda(l_2^2) = 4/\pi$ [6], and this results in the contradiction $\sec(\pi/8) \geq (\pi\sqrt{2})/4$. Q.E.D.

REMARK. A finer argument also based on Lemma 1 shows that $c_2 < 1.414211$, however, the proof is much more complicated and we omit it. As in [1] or [2],

it follows that if $E \supset F$ are Banach spaces and $\dim(E/F) = 2$, then there is a projection P of E onto F with norm $< 2.414211 < (1 + \sqrt{2})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Professor J. Lindenstrauss for his helpful advice and discussion on the subject matter.

REFERENCES

1. W. J. Davis, *Remarks on finite rank projections*, to appear.
2. D. J. H. Garling and Y. Gordon, *Relations between some constants associated with finite dimensional Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 346–361.
3. Y. Gordon, *On p -absolutely summing constants of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 151–163.
4. Y. Gordon, D. R. Lewis and J. R. Retherford, *Banach ideals of operators with applications to the finite dimensional structure of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **13** (1973), 348–360.
5. A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1956), 1–79.
6. B. Grünbaum, *Projection constants*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 451–465.
7. V. I. Gurarii, M. I. Kadec, and V. I. Macaev, *On Banach-Mazur distance between certain Minkowski spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 719–722.
8. F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Courant Anniversary Volume, Interscience, New York, 1948, 187–204.
9. M. I. Kadec, to appear in Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.
10. S. Kwapien, *On a theorem of L. Schwartz and its applications to absolutely summing operators*, Studia Math. **38** (1970), 193–201.
11. A. Persson and A. Pietsch, *p -nukleare und p -integrale Abbildungen in Banachräumen*, Studia Math. **33** (1969), 19–62.
12. A. Pietsch, *Absolute p -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen*, Studia Math. **28** (1967), 333–353.
13. A. Pietsch, *Adjungierte normierten Operatorenideale*, Math. Nachr. **48** (1971), 189–211.
14. H. P. Rosenthal, *On subspaces of L^p* , to appear.
15. D. Rutovitz, *Some parameters associated with finite-dimensional Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 241–255.
16. P. Saphar, *Produits tensoriels d'espaces des Banach et classes d'applications linéaires*, Studia Math. **38** (1970), 71–100.
17. R. Schatten, *A theory of cross-spaces*, Princeton, 1950.
18. Y. Gordon and D. R. Lewis, *Absolutely summing, L_1 factorizable operators and applications*, to appear.